# **COMMUNITY PROJECT/REZONING**

### **Ordinance Fact Sheet**

Case No. CD-CPC-2020-00137

## Rezoning

The applicant is proposing to approve to rezone approximately 0.96 acre generally located at 506 E. 31st Street (northeast corner of E. 31st Street and Gillham Road) from District M1-5 (Manufacturing 1 dash 5) to District UR (Urban Redevelopment) and approve a development plan for a new structure with 163 multi-family units with amenity space. (CD-CPC-2020-00137)

#### **Details**

Location: generally located at northeast corner of E. 31st Street and Gillham Road

Reason for Legislation: Rezoning to UR require City Council approval.

The Commission recommended that this application be approved with conditions:

### **REVIEW CRITERIA**

# 88-515-08-A. conformance with adopted plans and planning policies;

The plan, as proposed, complies with the applicable plans and policies including the Greater Downtown Area Plan.

88-515-08-B. zoning and use of nearby property;

Adjacent properties are zoned UR and M1-5 with a mixed use characteristic.

# 88-515-08-C. physical character of the area in which the subject property is located;

The site is about one acre and generally located at the northeast corner of E 31st Street and Gillham Road. The site contains two joined, vacant buildings, a hard surface drive on the east side of the buildings along E 31st Street and several open space lots with intermittent paving to the north of the site along Gillham Road. The primary building at the corner of E31st Street and Gillham Road and the vacant lots along Gillham Road are separated by a 14-foot wide E/W public alleyway. The addresses of the site are 500/506 East 31st Street, 3025 Gillham Road and 3021 Gillham Road. The site has about 130 feet of frontage on East 31st Street and about 340 feet of frontage along Gillham Road. The existing building is three stories and of brick construction with a primary entrance on E 31st Street. In staff's opinion, the proposed builing is designed with consideration of the change of topography and the historic

Ordinance Number 210965
-------------------------

Positions/Recommendations					
Sponsors	Jeffrey Williams, AICP, Director Department of City Planning & Development				
Programs, Departments or Groups Affected	4 <sup>th</sup> District (Shields, Bunch)				
Applicants / Proponents	Applicant Kurt Mitscher Taliaferro & Browne 1020 E. 8th St. KCMO 64106  City Department City Planning & Development Other				
Opponents	Groups or Individuals  Basis of Opposition				
Staff Recommendation	X For  Against  Reason Against				
Board or Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (5-0) 10-5-2021  By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders)  For Against No Action Taken  X For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)				
Council Committee Actions	Do Pass  Do Pass (as amended)  Committee Sub.  Without Recommendation  Hold				

architectural style.			Do not pass		
88-515-08-D. whether public facilities (infrastructure) and services will be adequate to serve development allowed by the requested zoning map amendment; Infrastructure and services are expected to be built to City standard in a manner which will be adequate for the development.					
88-515-08-E. suitability of the subject property for the uses to which it has been restricted under the existing zoning regulations;  The subject property is suitable for mixed uses as proposed in the UR plan.					
88-515-08-F. length of time the subject property has remained vacant as zoned; There is an existing building on site.					
88-515-08-G. the extent to which approving the rezoning will detrimentally affect nearby properties. The plan is not expected to have a detrimental effect on nearby properties, in fact, the proposed development offers many positive factors. The development is a good continuation of new residential and commercial development in the area started by the Union Hill Redevelopment to the west, the Urban Coeur and Gillham Row Properties to the north and Crown Center also north. The proposed land use is in compliance with the land use recommendation of the area plan.					
88-515-08-H. the gain, if any, to the public health, safety, and welfare due to denial of the application, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the landowner, if any, as a result of denial of the application.  No gain to the public health, safety, and welfare was determined by staff due to denial of the application.  See Staff Report for more information.					
Continued from Page 1	Policy or Progra Change	m	Yes	No	
	Operational Impact Assessment				

-		
	Finances	
	Cost & Revenue Projections – Including Indirect Costs	
	Financial Impact	
	Funding Source(s) and Appropriation Account Codes	

Continued from Page 2

**Fact Sheet Prepared By: Date:** 10/11/2021

Xue Wood Staff Planner

**Initial Application Filed:** 8/20/2020

**Reviewed By:** 10/5/2021 **Date:** 10/11/2021 **City Plan Commission:** 

Joe Rexwinkle, AICP Division Manager

**Development Management** 

**Revised Plans Filed:** 9/9/2021

**Reference Numbers:** 

Case No. CD-CPC-2020-00137