

GENERAL

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Ordinance Number

Brief Title:

Approval Deadline:

Reason:

Details

Positions / Recommendations

<p>Reason for Legislation To seek Council approval and authorization of \$1,400,000.00 for settlement of the lawsuit styled <i>Chestnut, LLC v. City of Kansas City, Missouri</i>, Case No. 2116-CV10969, and acceptance of a Warranty Deed for the parcels of real property located at 501 Santa Fe Street in Kansas City, Jackson County, Missouri.</p>		<p>Sponsor(s) Matthew Gigliotti, City Attorney</p>						
		<p>Programs, Departments, or Groups Affected Water</p>						
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Applicants/Proponents</td> <td style="width: 75%;">Applicant</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>City Department</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Other</td> </tr> </table>	Applicants/Proponents	Applicant		City Department		Other
Applicants/Proponents	Applicant							
	City Department							
	Other							
<p>Discussion <i>(including relationship to other Council actions)</i></p> <p>Settlement of lawsuit related to damages to a property located at 501 Santa Fe Street, Kansas City, Missouri, and acquisition of parcels of property at that location.</p> <p>The Law Department and the Risk Management Committee recommend settlement of all claims in this case for \$1,400,000.00 and the acquisition of the property at that location.</p>		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Opponents</td> <td style="width: 75%;">Groups or Individuals</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Basis of Opposition</td> </tr> </table>	Opponents	Groups or Individuals		Basis of Opposition		
Opponents	Groups or Individuals							
	Basis of Opposition							
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Staff Recommendation</td> <td style="width: 75%;"> 9 For 9 Against Reasons Against: </td> </tr> </table>	Staff Recommendation	9 For 9 Against Reasons Against:				
Staff Recommendation	9 For 9 Against Reasons Against:							
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Board or Commission Recommendation</td> <td style="width: 75%;"> 9 For 9 Against 9 No Action Taken 9 For, with revisions or conditions </td> </tr> </table>	Board or Commission Recommendation	9 For 9 Against 9 No Action Taken 9 For, with revisions or conditions				
Board or Commission Recommendation	9 For 9 Against 9 No Action Taken 9 For, with revisions or conditions							
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Council Committee Action</td> <td style="width: 75%;"> 9 Do Pass 9 Do Pass (as amended) 9 Committee Substitute 9 No Recommendation 9 Hold 9 Do Not Pass </td> </tr> </table>	Council Committee Action	9 Do Pass 9 Do Pass (as amended) 9 Committee Substitute 9 No Recommendation 9 Hold 9 Do Not Pass				
Council Committee Action	9 Do Pass 9 Do Pass (as amended) 9 Committee Substitute 9 No Recommendation 9 Hold 9 Do Not Pass							

Details**Policy / Program Impact**

		Policy or Program Change	9 No 9 Yes
		Operational Impact Assessment	
		Finances	
		Cost and Revenue Projections	Cost of Legislation \$1,400,000.00 Increase/Decrease in Revenue Expected Annually
		Fund Sources	22-7010-131524-B

Applicable Dates:**Fact Sheet Prepared By:**

G. Steven Diegel, Sr. Associate City Attorney

Reviewed By:

Matthew Gigliotti, City Attorney

Reference Numbers