From: <u>kyle rmroco.com</u>

To: Barnes, Lee; Bough, Andrea; Ellington, Brandon; Loar, Teresa; Fowler, Dan; Shields, Katheryn; Bunch, Eric;

**Public Testimony** 

Subject: Fw: case#230198 ; Kyle Getz Statement letter against Parking Decimation by Harvest Development

**Date:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 7:17:47 PM

**Attachments:** 5th-and-Main-RFP-Harvest Devlopment site.pdf

Hello, I am forwarding this as I was told by one of your offices that my other email I was using was going to spam, so I am fowarding it though another email I have.

I and the City Market/River Market community are not against this project, it should be a great addition, but it will be a clear detriment if the parking is not preserved.

This is all the entire community is asking for is that the parking be preserved as it was stated in the original FRP, and that the developers also provide an ample amount of parking for its residents and retail. The current plan has a parking garage of 247 spaces for a building of over 300 units, this realistically means there will be 400 to 450 people living there, and we in Kansas city, the majority of us have a vehicle, so their math is bad, they need to have at least a minimal of 400 parking spaces, to be real about the situation, they need more like 450, that would help cover there retail visitors too.

I have attached the original FRP if you have not seen it. Here is a key paragraph from the FRP that applies to the parking;

Parking. Proposals must provide for the construction of not less than one hundred sixty (160) parking

stalls within a structured parking facility to be constructed by the selected Developer on the Development

Site and/or at another nearby off-site location, sufficiently close to service the City Market. These spaces

shall be dedicated to transient public parking for a period of not less than twenty-five (25) years (the

"Public Parking"). The selected Developer will bear all management, operations and maintenance costs

of the Public Parking and may impose charges for the use of the Public Parking, but such charges must not

exceed the rates charged to tenants of the Development Site, if converted to a short-term use on an

hourly basis. Port KC and the City have not yet determined whether day-to-day management, operations

and maintenance of the Public Parking will be handled by the selected Developer or another entity, but

this will not relieve the selected Developer of the financial obligations with respect to such costs. Any

decision with respect to management, operations and maintenance of the Public

Parking will be made

jointly by the selected Developer, Port KC and the City at a future date.

THE PUBLIC PARKING WILL BE MADE AVAILABLE SOLELY FOR TRANSIENT PARKERS AND MAY NOT BE

REGARDED AS PARKING AVAILABLE TO TENANTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT SITE OR TENANTS' EMPLOYEES.

DEVELOPERS ARE ADVISED THAT ANY PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MUST PROVIDE SUFFICIENT

PARKING, WHETHER ON-SITE OR OFF-SITE, FOR THE TENANTS AND THE TENANTS' EMPLOYEES.

The affordable housing and parking obligations will be material terms of any development structure

negotiated by Port KC with the selected Developer and are non-negotiable.

Thanks for your time, Kyle G 816.516.9400

From: kyle operahousekc.com <kyle@operahousekc.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 3:36 PM **To:** kyle rmroco.com <kyle@rmroco.com>

Subject: Fw: case#230198; Kyle Getz Statement letter against Parking Decimation by Harvest

Development

**From:** kyle operahousekc.com

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 7, 2023 1:49 PM

To: lee.barnes@kcmo.org <lee.barnes@kcmo.org>; Bough, Andrea <andrea.bough@kcmo.org>; brandon.ellington@kcmo.org <br/> brandon.ellington@kcmo.org <br/> teresa.loar@kcmo.org <ceresa.loar@kcmo.org>; dan.fowler@kcmo.org <dan.fowler@kcmo.org>; katheryn.shields@kcmo.org <katheryn.shields@kcmo.org>; eric.bunch@kcmo.org <eric.bunch@kcmo.org>; public.testimony@kcmo.org <public.testimony@kcmo.org>
Subject: case#230198 ; Kyle Getz Statement letter against Parking Decimation by Harvest Development

Hello,

I and the City Market/River Market community are not against this project, it should be a great addition, but it will be a clear detriment if the parking is not preserved.

This is all the entire community is asking for is that the parking be preserved as it was stated in the original FRP, and that the developers also provide an ample amount of parking for its residents and retail. The current plan has a parking garage of 247 spaces for a building of over 300 units, this realistically means there will be 400 to 450 people living there, and we in Kansas city, the majority of us have a vehicle, so their math is bad, they need to have at least a minimal of 400 parking spaces, to be real about the situation, they need more like 450, that would help cover there retail visitors too.

I have attached the original FRP if you have not seen it.

Thanks for your time, Kyle G 816.516.9400