

STREET OR ALLEY VACATION

Ordinance Number

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Case No. CD-ROW-2021-00012

A request to vacate a portion of Myrtle Avenue on about 0.53 acres between E. 52nd Street and E. 53rd Street.

Details

Location: A request to vacate a portion of Myrtle Avenue on about 0.53 acres in District R-6 (Residential dash 6) generally located in between E. 52nd Street to the north and E. 53rd Street to the south.

Reason for Legislation: A request to approve a right-of-way vacation requires approval from city council.

The Commission recommended that this application be approved with conditions:

1. The applicant shall have their licensed master plumber secure a kill permit from KC Water for the private 2" water main in the South portion of Myrtle Avenue prior to approval of the vacation by City Council.
2. The developer shall coordinate with KCMO Public Works Street Lighting Services to come to an agreement prior to ordinance request regarding streetlight poles SD|0125 & SD|0126.

In the time since the CPC hearing, the applicant has worked with the Public Works Streetlights group to agree upon the following revision of condition 2:

2. The applicant shall remove streetlight facilities for poles SDI0125 & SDI0126 at the expense of the applicant prior to release of the vacation for recording. The removal of these facilities shall not impact any other streetlights along E 53rd street. The applicant shall coordinate the disconnection of the existing lighting circuit to any adjacent poles with the Public Works Streetlight Maintenance Supervisor. All KCMO equipment must be returned to their maintenance contractor, who at the time of the passage of this ordinance is Black and McDonald.

See staff report for details.

Positions/Recommendations

Sponsors	Jeffrey Williams, AICP, Director Department of City Planning & Development
Programs, Departments or Groups Affected	5 th District (Parks-Shaw, Barnes)
Applicants / Proponents	Applicant Bob Langenkamp Community Builders of KC 4001 Blue Parkway, KCMO, 64130 City Department City Planning & Development Other
Opponents	Groups or Individuals Basis of Opposition
Staff Recommendation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For <input type="checkbox"/> Against
Board or Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (5-0) 07-05-2022 By Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Rojas, Sadowski <input type="checkbox"/> For <input type="checkbox"/> Against <input type="checkbox"/> No Action Taken <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)
Council Committee Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> Do Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Do Pass (as amended) <input type="checkbox"/> Committee Sub. <input type="checkbox"/> Without Recommendation <input type="checkbox"/> Hold <input type="checkbox"/> Do not pass

Continued from Page 1

Policy or Program Change	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Operational Impact Assessment	

Finances

Cost & Revenue Projections – Including Indirect Costs	
Financial Impact	
Funding Source(s) and	

--

Appropriation Account Codes	

Continued from Page 2

Fact Sheet Prepared By: Jared Clements, AICP Planner	Date: 07/11/2022	Initial Application Filed: 04/06/2021
Reviewed By: Joe Rexwinkle, AICP Division Manager Development Management	Date: 07/11/2022	City Plan Commission: 07/05/2022
		Revised Plans Filed: N/A
Reference Numbers: Case No. CD-ROW-2021-00012		