COMMUNITY PROJECT/REZONING

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Case No. CD-CPC-2020-00162

Brief Title

To approve an amendment to Chapter 88, the zoning and development code to cap the fee amount for minor subdivisions.

Details

Location: Citywide Reason for Legislation: Amendments to the city code require Council approval. Section 88-620 of the Zoning and Development Code (Chapter 88 of the City's Code of Ordinances) establishes application fees for all zoning applications required of Chapter 88, including minor subdivisions. Some fees are fixed, or flat rates, while others are variable depending on the size, scale, or scope of the application. In the case of the latter, a fee maximum or cap is provided. This is true of all applications except for minor subdivisions. This section provides the following fee structure: \$217 + \$3 per lot for residential development or \$217 + \$65 per acre for nonresidential development. A similar application, final plats, provides a similar structure with a fee "not to exceed" \$1,896. There is no such cap provided for minor subdivisions. Staff can find no evidence a cap was ever provided in prior versions of the ordinance; however, the result of no cap is that a recent subdivision for one industrial lot exceeded \$6,000, which was disproportionate to the work performed by staff.

The City Plan Commission has reviewed this request and recommends approval with a cap of \$1,896, which is consistent with the caps provided for other subdivision applications.

Ordinance Number

Positions/Recommendations

	Jeffrey Williams, AICP
Sponsors	City Planning & Development Director
Sponsors	
Drograma	Citymuide
Programs,	Citywide
Departments or Groups Affected	
dioups Affected	Applicant Jeffrey Williams, AICP
	City Planning & Development
	Director
Applicants /	
Proponents	City Department
	City Planning & Development
	Other
	Groups or Individuals None
Oneseste	
Opponents	Basis of Opposition None
Staff	X For
	Against
Recommendation	0
	Reason Against
	Reason Against
	Reason Against City Plan Commission (8-0)
	City Plan Commission (8-0)
Board or	City Plan Commission (8-0)
Board or Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski
Board or Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass
Commission	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)
Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended)
Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub.
Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended)
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub.
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation Hold
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	City Plan Commission (8-0) By Allender, Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation

