## **GENERAL**

## **Ordinance Fact Sheet**

## **Resolution No. 211118**

**Ordinance Number** 

Brief Title	Approval Deadline	Reason			
	9 and Cookingham interchange;				
authorizing application for t	ne MoDOT Cost Share				
Details		Positions/Recommendations			
Reason for Legislation		Sponsor	Councilwoman Loar		
Cookingham interchange; work with the Missouri De	rt for improvements to the I-29 and and directing the City Manager to partment of Transportation to be MoDOT Partnership Development	Programs, Departments, or Groups Affected	Public Works, Parks, Water		
Cost Share Program.		Applicants / Proponents	Applicant  City Manager's Office		
Discussion			City Department		
approved for office and c	gham between I-29 and I-435 has been ommercial development. The		Other		
application from Districts B3-3 (Community Busines acres generally located at Cookingham Dr and N Am	d a equest to approve a rezoning AG-R (Agricultural-Residential) and is - 3) to District B3-3 on about 86.29 the northwest corner of NW abassador Dr which was heard by the ler case CD-CPC-2020-00065. The	Opponents	Groups or Individuals  None Known  Basis of opposition		
project site.  Resolution 210286 suppo	d upon issues with access to the rted the request of Ambassador in access along the north side of N.W.	Staff Recommendation			
Cookingham Road/Route Interstate 29 to allow dire side of N.W. Cookingham	D between N. Ambassador Drive and ect access to the property on the north Road/Route D to provide for full are tract of land and urging the	Board or Commission Recommendation	By		
access which the state did projections and access ma	ransportation to approve the break in donor approved based upon the traffic anagement policies which excess will not provide a long term		For Against No action taker  For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)		
	oposed development and operation of	Council Committee Actions	Do pass  Do pass (as amended)		
approved conversion of the ramp terminals to roundar proposed commercial and	Highway Administration have ne existing signalized interchange bouts with direct access to the d office development and are willing		☐ Committee Sub. ☐ Without Recommendation		
to partner on the constru	ction of the improvements.		☐ Hold ☐ Do not pass		

(Continued on reverse side)

Details	Policy/Program Impact				
Is it good for the children? Yes.		Policy or Program Change	X No	Yes	
How will this contribute to a sustainable Ka Project will remove three signalized interset roundabout interchange and include portion Corridor Trail along the east side of I-29.	ions into a	Operational Impact Assessment			
		Finances			
		Cost & Revenue			
		Projections Including Indirect Costs			
		Financial Impact			
		Fund Source (s)			
		and Appropriation Account Codes			
		(Use this space for f	urther discus	sion, if necessary)	)
Applicable Dates:					
Fact Sheet Prepared by: Wes Minder Date Director of Water Services 1/4/2 Reviewed by:	2022				

Ordinance Fact Sheet; General 050113

**Reference Numbers**