

GENERAL

Ordinance Fact Sheet

211040

Ordinance Number

Brief Title: Approval of settlement of Claim File No. T2021-1075, 1125 Grand Boulevard LLC

Approval Deadline:

Reason: To approve settlement of Claim File T2021-1075, 1125 Grand Boulevard LLC

Details

Positions / Recommendations

<p>Reason for Legislation To seek City Council approval and authorization of settlement of the Claim File No. T2021-1075, 1125 Grand Boulevard LLC.</p>	<p>Sponsor(s) Matthew Gigliotti, City Attorney</p>										
	<p>Programs, Departments, or Groups Affected Water Services Department</p>										
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Applicants/Proponents</td> <td style="width: 70%;">Applicant</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>City Department</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Other</td> </tr> </table>	Applicants/Proponents	Applicant		City Department		Other				
Applicants/Proponents	Applicant										
	City Department										
	Other										
<p>Discussion <i>(including relationship to other Council actions)</i></p> <p>On February 12, 2021, a water main burst and caused property damage to the condominium apartments located at 1125 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri. This was a Code 3 break on an 8-inch main. The main break was located next to the building. The pressure from the water caused a foundation wall to buckle which allowed the basement to flood to a depth of approximately thirty to thirty-six inches. The water flowed into the basement which housed thirty-five steel mesh storage units for building residents. To perform remediation services, the claimant initially hired PACT. A City contractor assumed this work less than one week after the break occurred. This ordinance will fully pay the claimant and the City's contractor.</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Opponents</td> <td style="width: 70%;">Groups or Individuals</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Basis of Opposition</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Staff Recommendation</td> <td> 9 For 9 Against Reasons Against: </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Board or Commission Recommendation</td> <td> 9 For 9 Against 9 No Action Taken 9 For, with revisions or conditions </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Council Committee Action</td> <td> 9 Do Pass 9 Do Pass (as amended) 9 Committee Substitute 9 No Recommendation 9 Hold 9 Do Not Pass </td> </tr> </table>	Opponents	Groups or Individuals		Basis of Opposition	Staff Recommendation	9 For 9 Against Reasons Against:	Board or Commission Recommendation	9 For 9 Against 9 No Action Taken 9 For, with revisions or conditions	Council Committee Action	9 Do Pass 9 Do Pass (as amended) 9 Committee Substitute 9 No Recommendation 9 Hold 9 Do Not Pass
Opponents	Groups or Individuals										
	Basis of Opposition										
Staff Recommendation	9 For 9 Against Reasons Against:										
Board or Commission Recommendation	9 For 9 Against 9 No Action Taken 9 For, with revisions or conditions										
Council Committee Action	9 Do Pass 9 Do Pass (as amended) 9 Committee Substitute 9 No Recommendation 9 Hold 9 Do Not Pass										

Details**Policy / Program Impact**

		Policy or Program Change	9 No 9 Yes
		Operational Impact Assessment	
		Finances	
		Cost and Revenue Projections	Cost of Legislation \$9,423,659.62 Increase/Decrease in Revenue Expected Annually
		Fund Sources	22-7010-131523-B

Applicable Dates:**Fact Sheet Prepared By:**

Alisa N. Chambers, Assistant City Attorney

Reviewed By:

Matthew Gigliotti, City Attorney

Reference Numbers