

City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240667 Submitted Department/Preparer: Conventions Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in <u>Administrative Regulation (AR) 4-1</u>.

Executive Summary

Authorizing the City Manager to execute a First Amendment to the License and Operating Agreement between Anschutz Kansas City Arena, LLC ("AKC"), the City of Kansas City, Missouri ("City"), and the National Association of Basketball Coaches Foundation, Inc. ("NABC") for the purpose of permitting the National Association of Basketball Coaches ("Association") to locate its headquarters within the downtown of Kansas City, Missouri.

Discussion

The City, AKC, and NABC entered into a License and Operating Agreement ("Operating Agreement") on May 7, 2007, for the use and occupancy of the New NABC Facilities-College Basketball Experience in the City of Kansas City, Missouri Downtown Arena. The Operating Agreement requires that the "NABC shall cause the Association to maintain its Headquarters Facility within the Downtown Loop at all times during the Term" (Section 2 of the License and Operating Agreement). The NABC and the Association are exploring locations in Downtown Kansas City outside the Downtown Loop that allows the Association to broaden its contacts in the sports and civic community and reduce its related maintenance and rental costs for its headquarters. The First Amendment will only amend Section 2 of the Operating Agreement to authorize the Association to locate its headquarters within the larger area of Downtown Kansas City, and the First Amendment does not change any of the other terms and conditions of the License and Operating Agreement.

Fiscal Impact

1.	Is this legislation includ	ed in the adopted budget?	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes N_0$
----	----------------------------	---------------------------	-------	-----------------

2. What is the funding source? Not Applicable, this legislation does not appropriate or authorize expenditures.

	w does the legislation affect the current fiscal year? Fre is no fiscal impact.		
diff			
retu	ırn on investment?	ng, or del	iver a
Thi	s legislation is supported by the general fund.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Thi	s fund has a structural imbalance.	□ Yes	⊠ No
Acc	count string has been verified/confirmed.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	o fiscal impact.		
		_	
	Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impac	t	
Vie	Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impac w the Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan	t	
Wh		t	
Wh Incl	w the Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan ich CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?		
	The Doe difference of I	There is no fiscal impact. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? If difference between one-time and recurring costs. There is no fiscal impact.	There is no fiscal impact. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please not difference between one-time and recurring costs. There is no fiscal impact. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or del return on investment? There is no fiscal impact. e of Management and Budget Review Staff will complete this section.) This legislation is supported by the general fund.

	Leverage existing institutional assets to maintain and grow Kansas City's position as an economic hub in the Central United States.
	Prior Legislation
	Titor Legislation
	Service Level Impacts
	Other Impacts
1	. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups? Not applicable
2	. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance? Not applicable
3	. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City? Not applicable
4	Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units? Please Select (Press tab after selecting)
	No, this legislation does not create or preserve new housing units.
5	. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.
	No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting) Please provide reasoning why not:

6.	Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?
	No(Press tab after selecting)
7.	Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)? No(Press tab after selecting)