#### 3) Sources of Funds

SOURCES	AMOUNT
Owner Equity	\$2,233,007
Permanent Financing	\$12,325,000
Pace Financing	\$4,027,026
Brownfields Tax Credit	\$74,400
Fed Historic Tax Credit Equity	\$2,851,200
State Tax Credit Equity	\$255,750
Line of Credit	\$500,000
Cash Flow (for Deferred Developer Fee)	\$1,600,000
TOTAL	\$23,866,383

#### 4) Lease/Disposal Terms

The Company will renovate and construct improvements on the project site in accordance with the Lease. The City shall be the sole, titular owner of the real property during the term of the Lease. While the real property is owned by the City and is subject to the Lease, the Company's leasehold interest will be exempt from all real property taxes. Following completion of the Project, the Project shall be conveyed by the City to the Company, which shall be conveyed no later than the 17<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Company's first conveyance to the City (or sooner at the Company's option in accordance with the terms of the Lease).

#### 5) Affected Taxing Jurisdictions

The following taxing jurisdictions will be affected by the Project: the City of Kansas City, Missouri, Jackson County, Missouri, Kansas City Public Schools, Jackson County Mental Health Services, Jackson County Development Disabilities Fund, State of Missouri, State Blind Pension Fund, Kansas City Public Library, Kansas City Zoological District, and Metropolitan Community Colleges.

#### 6) a) Equalized Assessed Valuation of Real Property in Project – Before Development

Real Property: \$411,616 Personal Property: N/A

# b) Equalized Assessed Valuation of Real and Personal Property in Project – After Development

		Real Prop	erty	
Year	Appraised Value	Equalized Assessed Value	Full Taxes	PILOT
		32%	9.6987%	
2023				
2024	Developer v	will make a PILC	If payment in the general construction	ne amount of
2025		\$51,617 duilli	g construction	
2026	\$4,593,750	\$1,470,000	\$142,571	\$31,817
2027	\$4,593,750	\$1,470,000	\$142,571	\$31,817
2028	\$4,685,625	\$1,499,400	\$145,422	\$31,817
2029	\$4,685,625	\$1,499,400	\$145,422	\$31,817
2030	\$4,779,338	\$1,529,388	\$148,331	\$31,817
2031	\$4,779,338	\$1,529,388	\$148,331	\$31,817
2032	\$4,874,924	\$1,559,976	\$151,297	\$31,817
2033	\$4,874,924	\$1,559,976	\$151,297	\$31,817
2034	\$4,972,423	\$1,591,175	\$154,323	\$31,817
2035	\$4,972,423	\$1,591,175	\$154,323	\$31,817
2036	\$5,071,871	\$1,622,999	\$157,410	\$78,413
2037	\$5,071,871	\$1,622,999	\$157,410	\$79,981
2038	\$5,173,309	\$1,655,459	\$160,558	\$79,981
2039	\$5,173,309	\$1,655,459	\$160,558	\$81,581
2040	\$5,276,775	\$1,688,568	\$163,769	\$81,581

The special assessments, including the Downtown CID and Main Street Rail/Streetcar TDD, will be payable under the lease.

# 7) 15 Year Cost/Benefit Analysis (Average Costs – Amounts below are Net Benefits/(Costs))

Taxing Authority	Public Benefits:	Public Costs & Incentives:	Net Benefits (Costs):
City of Kansas City	7,355,948	4,377,220	2,978,728
Jackson County	1,143,991	629,987	514,004
Mental Health Fund	109,727	64,371	45,356
EITAS (Developmental Disabilities)	73,175	43,333	29,842
Blind Pension Fund (State)	34,294	18,314	15,980
Kansas City Public Library	586,301	332,417	253,884
Kansas City Zoo District	34,850	22,931	11,919
Kansas City Public Schools	5,182,065	2,991,464	2,190,602
Metro Community Colleges	233,594	140,926	92,669
State of Missouri	7,326,981	2,495,196	4,831,785

See Attachment 2 for cost/benefit analysis.

#### 8) Anticipated PILOTs and Disposition of Payments

		KINDLE	R PILOT SCHEDU	JLE		1	_
Abatement Year	Calendar Year	roperty Taxes ore Abatement	Abatement Percentage		PILOT		efit to Project of Abated Property
1	2024	\$ 39,773	0%	\$	31,817	\$	7,956
2	2025	\$ 39,773	- 0%	\$	31,817	\$	7,956
3	2026	\$ 142,042	100%	\$	31,817	\$	110,225
4	2027	\$ 144,883	100%	\$	31,817	\$	113,066
5	2028	\$ 144,883	100%	\$	31,817	\$	113,066
6	2029	\$ 147,780	100%	\$	31,817	\$	115,963
7	2030	\$ 147,780	100%	\$	31,817	\$	115,963
8	2031	\$ 150,736	100%	\$	31,817	\$	118,919
9	2032	\$ 150,736	100%	\$	31,817	\$	118,919
10	2033	\$ 153,750	100%	\$	31,817	\$	121,933
11	2034	\$ 153,750	100%	\$	31,817	\$	121,933
12	2035	\$ 156,826	100%	\$	31,817	\$	125,009
13	2036	\$ 156,826	50%	\$	78,413	\$	78,413
14	2037	\$ 159,962	50%	\$	79,981	\$	79,981
15	2038	\$ 159,962	50%	\$	79,981	\$	79,981
16	2039	\$ 163,161	50%	\$	81,581	\$	81,581
17	2040	\$ 163,161	50%	\$	81,581	\$	81,581
18	2041	\$ 166,424	0%		N/A	\$	-

The special assessments, including the Downtown CID and Main Street Rail/Streetcar TDD, will be payable under the lease.

#### B. Community Impact Statement Requirements (Resolution No. 041033)

#### 1) Project Integration with Area Plans

Project will comply with the Greater Downtown Area Plan.

# 2) 15-Year Cost/Benefit of the Project (Amounts Below are Net Benefits/(Costs), see Attachment 2)

Taxing Authority	Public Benefits:	Public Costs & Incentives:	Net Benefits (Costs):
City of Kansas City	7,355,948	4,377,220	2,978,728
Jackson County	1,143,991	629,987	514,004
Mental Health Fund (State)	109,727	64,371	45,356
EITAS (Developmental Disabilities)	73,175	43,333	29,842
Blind Pension Fund	34,294	18,314	15,980
Kansas City Public Library	586,301	332,417	253,884
Kansas City Zoo District	34,850	22,931	11,919
Kansas City Public Schools	5,182,065	2,991,464	2,190,602
Metro Community Colleges	233,594	140,926	92,669
State of Missouri	7,326,981	2,495,196	4,831,785

See Attachment 2 for complete cost/benefit analysis.

#### 3) Project Compliance with City Affirmative Action Policies

The Company will comply, and will cause its contractors to comply, with all City Code requirements, including, but not limited to, the Affirmative Action, MBE/WBE and Construction Workforce, requirements outlined in Code Chapter 3, Art. IV, as well as the non-discrimination requirements in in Code Chapter 38, Art. III for the Project.

Pursuant to and in accordance with to City Code § 3-622(d)(4), the City Council has waived Prevailing Wage Requirements with respect to the Project by Committee Substitute For Ordinance No. 230403, recognizing that the Project involves the renovation of a building that has been added to the National Register of Historic Places, is therefore eligible for the waiver of prevailing wage requirements pursuant to Code § 3-622(d)(4).

#### 4) Other Evaluation Criteria

#### a) Number of New Jobs/Retained Jobs

The proposed Project will create 20 new jobs and relocate 2 jobs from the Kindler Hotel in Lincoln, NE.

#### b) Total Amount of Projected Investment

Total investment is approximately \$25 Million.

#### c) Average Wage

The jobs created in association with the Project have an average wage of \$40,000/year.

#### d) Capability to Attract sales from Outside KCMO

The Company would see a majority of its revenue from travelers.

#### e) Financial Strength of the Business

The Company is an established Hotel brand with its first location in Lincoln, NE.

#### f) Additional Residents to the Area

The Project is relocating two jobs to the area, however the 20 staff needed for operation can be found already within city limits.

#### g) Potential for Future Expansion

The Project will not likely expand in the future. This is a historic renovation, and the buildout would be dictated by the historic renovation requirements.

#### h) Existing versus New Business to the City

The Company is new to Kansas City.

#### i) General Environmental Impact on the Area

The Company is not aware of any detrimental environmental impact that will result from the proposed Project. The Company believes that through remediation and securing the utilities vault will generally improve the environmental conditions in the area.

#### j) Use of Federal and State Incentives for the Project

The Company will be utilizing State and Federal Historic Preservation Tax Credits. \$275,000 from State and \$3,600,000 from Federal.

#### k) Evidence of the Need for Chapter 100 Bonds

The financial analysis conducted by SB Friedman indicated that the project would require public assistance to be financially viable due in part to the blighted condition of the historic building, daily rates not supporting construction costs related to historic preservation, remediation, and comparatively high level of finish.

#### I) Evidence of Support by Affected Taxing Jurisdictions

All taxing jurisdictions will be notified in accordance with Missouri State Statute 100.059. In addition, EDC staff and the Company will respond to questions regarding the Project with the taxing jurisdictions in advance of the City Council hearing.

#### m) Estimated Impact to Proximate Businesses/Competition in KCMO

It is not anticipated that this Project will have any substantial negative impacts on other businesses in the city. The Hotel will have 35 rooms, mostly suites. The low number of rooms will not likely create saturation in the market of similar hotel products.

## **ATTACHMENT 1:**

# ANNUALIZED ESTIMATED COST BREAKDOWN

Through 2023	\$ 2,894,724
2024	\$ 8,388,664
2025	\$12,582,996
Total Budget	\$23,866,384

# **ATTACHMENT 2:**

# COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Cost-Barefit Analysis

Cost-Benefit Summary - 15-year analysis Percepita impacts calculated at 200% of total average revenues and costs.

Benefits	5	City of Kansas		Sederan County		Ments Health For		EITAS	Ö	Blind Pension Fund	Purk	Kansas City Public Library	# Chy2	Kensas City Zeo District	3	Kansas City Pakik Schoole		Metro	State	State of Missouri
Sales Texes: Property Toxes:	V> 63-	578,002 1,817,890	on on	327,511 127,497	47)	109.816	*5	67.806	46	(A) (A) (A) (B)	49	100 601 601 600 400 2 Bits	i de	31,068	65	115.604	3	220,690	69: 40	1,325,587
Intome Texes: Other Revenues:	vo   i5	\$29,998 E,984,032	10	18. 18.	146	e e	190	5,469	65	1 3,182	4.0	<b>8</b>	ŝ	9, 782 2, 782	- 4X	\$5,237	49.	17.504	നം ത	5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
Total Revenues:	16	खा जि क क र	4/4	1.189.841.1 1.189.841.1	60 C	109,727	40	78,173	6/p	34,294	10	536,301	3	34,850	en.	5,132,065	4/3.	233,594	<b>5</b> 3-	7,326,981
drafs Costs for Bermices:	49	2,801,518	100	167.425	tra	6 (6) (8) (8)	1/9	58 134 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 145 14	45	en Ti	45	150 60 60	4.9	000 UT (-1	li A	101.00	eg.	16 66 85	4/1	1,000 500
の場合には、	16	1,576,207	en.	482,552	C p	£1,524	474	57,806	47	17,476	(P)	328,776	6%-	17,773	lea.	2,839,259	i ka	123,961		661,688
Total Contac	s/>	4,577,220	so.	T86,828	<del>የ</del> ርጉ	94,971	**	60 60 60 7	**	40,814	457	332,417	46	22,691	iA)	2,991,464	an.	140,926	3	2 491,198
Net Cost/Benefit Public Benefits:	365	7.355 928	60	on or or or	u.			is the second se	4	10 10 10	·G*	202	rg4	9	Q	6 6 6 6 6		9 6 8 8		**************************************
Public Costs & incentives:	16	4,377,220	50	629,937	93	S 33	r di	10 23 75	ē 69-	1007	64	332,417	5 K/S	22,531	5 6A	2,991,464	6 10A	140,926	n en	198' SET (
「一大学のない」、中国の間に対し、大学で	10	38.6"B66"E	in.	514,004	age.	35. St.	100	768 de	<del>∜</del> 7-	036'57	45.	253,884	4,76	11,919	ın.	2,190,602		92,569	· //	4,861,786
Present Value of Public Benefits:	49	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	60	799,344	(P)	10.128	42.	47,246	v?·	22,128	68	350,081	45	27,745	nes.	5,359,180	67%	101,288 101,288	60-	
Present Value of Indentives:	w.	86.598	17)r	352,083	estile.	42,415	UV.	26,058	95	12,042	45	226,673	479	17,773	ess	1,992,084	v,	13 14 17 17 17	14/4	661,688

## **ATTACHMENT 3:**

### PILOT SCHEDULE

_		KINDLE	R PILOT SCHEDU	ILE		
Abatement Year	Calendar Year	roperty Taxes ore Abatement	Abatement Percentage		PILOT	efit to Project of Abated Property
1	2024	\$ 39,773	0%	\$	31,817	\$ 7,956
2	2025	\$ 39,773	0%	\$	31,817	\$ 7,956
3	2026	\$ 142,042	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 110,225
4	2027	\$ 144,883	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 113,066
5	2028	\$ 144,883	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 113,066
6	2029	\$ 147,780	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 115,963
7	2030	\$ 147,780	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 115,963
8	2031	\$ 150,736	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 118,919
9	2032	\$ 150,736	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 118,919
10	2033	\$ 153,750	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 121,933
11	2034	\$ 153,750	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 121,933
12	2035	\$ 156,826	100%	\$	31,817	\$ 125,009
13	2036	\$ 156,826	50%	\$	78,413	\$ 78,413
14	2037	\$ 159,962	50%	\$	79,981	\$ 79,981
15	2038	\$ 159,962	50%	\$	79,981	\$ 79,981
· 16	2039	\$ 163,161	50%	\$	81,581	\$ 81,581
17	2040	\$ 163,161	50%	\$	81,581	\$ 81,581
18	2041	\$ 166,424	0%		N/A	\$ -

The special assessments, including the Downtown CID and Main Street Rail/Streetcar TDD, will be payable under the lease.

### **ATTACHMENT 4:**

## AdvanceKC Analysis (Ordinance No. 140031)

#### A. Jobs-Based Project Priorities:

#### **Compete for Quality Jobs**

1) Retained jobs are as valuable as new jobs

# New Jobs = 20 # Retained Jobs = # Relocated Jobs = 2

2) Focus on AdvanceKC Target Sectors

Does not fall under a target sector, but does support all target sectors by providing additional suite-style hotels to accommodate growth in the city.

3) Focus jobs in growth sectors

N/A

- 4) Focus on companies that provide competitive wages for their industry Competitive service industry salaries
- 5) Promote comprehensive opportunities for education, skill development and lifelong learning to preserve and enhance Kansas City's workforce N/A.

#### **B. Site-Based Project Priorities:**

1) Provide incentives for real estate projects to encourage economic, social, and environmental sustainability

The project creates a hotel product that focuses on suites. Kansas City continues to grow in business and entertainment fields and will need additional hotel rooms to compensate for growth.

2) Maintain and develop quality and workforce housing opportunities consistent with market needs of existing and prospective residents

N/A

3) Support projects that benefit surrounding neighborhoods

Redeveloping this building that is situated in the middle of a city block will help to encourage more redevelopment in the area. This project will also fix an underground utility vault that is dilapidated. Hotels bring in visitors, and more out-of-town dollars being spent in the area is a benefit to residents and businesses.

4) Support projects that are consistent with City Area Plans and the City's Consolidated Plan

This project is consistent with the City's Area Plan of record for the area. Greater Downtown Area Plan.

- 5) Support early risk takers, especially in City Council priority development areas N/A
- 6) Target areas of historic underinvestment
  - a. Focus on areas of long-term declining property values N/A.
  - b. Encourage geographic equity of development patterns This facility is located within Council District 4.
- 7) Support projects that increase density in the urban core with a greater emphasis within the streetcar corridors

This project represents support for infill development.

#### C. Fiscal Responsibility Policies:

- 1) Promote good stewardship of the City's resources
  - This project will help to expand the city's current tax base and create jobs. The Cost Benefit Analysis indicates that it would be a net benefit to all taxing jurisdictions.
- 2) Provide incentives for real estate projects only as necessary to fill the financial gap. A financial analysis conducted by the SB Friedman company showed a financial need for the requested level of incentives.
- 3) Support pay-as-you-go projects and discourage the use of City debt

  Ch. 100 bonds are conduit debt and do not involve any guarantees or obligations of the

  City.
- 4) Super TIF should be used with great discretion and requires the support of a super majority (two-thirds) of the City Council, except when needed to access incentives from other public jurisdictions or to promote quality residential development N/A
- 5) Encourage retail projects that result in capture of net new consumer spending versus substitution of spending from other markets within KCMO N/A
- 6) Limit the use of incentives to reasonable and appropriate project expenses which have a public benefit and are essential to the successful completion of projects.

  The Project will create 20 jobs, relocate 2 jobs, activate a long vacant building, and repair public infrastructure. Without assistance, this project will not be able to achieve reality.
- 7) All projects should meet the "but for" test, either financially or competitively or should address the City Council's strategic priorities.
  - Project met the standards of a third-party financial analysis
- 8) Promote a positive fiscal impact on taxing jurisdictions in the use of incentives

  The project shows a net benefit to all affected taxing jurisdictions.
- 9) Direct earnings tax incentives are prohibited No earnings tax redirections are anticipated.

- 10) The City's incentives should be used to maximize private investment
  - The financial analysis conducted by SB Friedman indicated public support at the level to be provided would be required to be financially viable, and to attract debt and equity investors.
- 11) Business types ineligible for incentives include: the sale of package liquor\* or firearms\*, pawn shops, short term loan establishments, gambling, blood/plasma centers, "adult-oriented" businesses, cigarette\*/smoke shops and hookah lounges, scrap metal operations, tattoo/piercing parlors, and used car lots
  - \* These business types are only ineligible when the indicated (\*) product comprises more than 30% of retail sales or the sales display area (Example: A liquor store would be ineligible but a grocery store that sells liquor would be eligible)

The Project is an allowable business type.