



Agenda

Special Committee for Legal Review

Chairperson Quinton Lucas

Vice Chair Melissa Robinson

Councilmember Andrea Bough

Monday, March 23, 2026

2:00 PM

26th Floor, Council Chamber

Meeting Link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85624825067>

PUBLIC OBSERVANCE OF MEETINGS

Members of the City Council may attend this meeting via videoconference.

Any closed session may be held via teleconference.

The public can observe this meeting at the links provided below.

Applicants and citizens wishing to participate have the option of attending each meeting or they may do so through the videoconference platform ZOOM, using this link:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84530222968>

*****Public Testimony is Limited to 2 Minutes*****

[220444](#) Amending Chapter 44, Code of Ordinances, Corrections, by repealing Sections 44-1, 44-9 and 44-11 relating to prisoners in the custody of the City, and enacting in lieu thereof three new sections of like number and subject matter.

O'Neill and Hall

[230471](#) Sponsor(s): Councilmembers Kevin O'Neill and Heather Hall

Amending Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 220364, passed on October 13, 2022, by repealing and replacing Section 5 to provide for an effective date of July 1, 2024.

Lucas

[231053](#) Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Repealing Ordinance No. 231011 and directing the City Manager, in the event of the developer's failure to perform its contractual obligations, to terminate the 63rd and Prospect development contract and issue a request for proposals for the 63rd and Prospect development area.

Lucas

[250974](#) Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

RESOLUTION - Directing the City Manager to align agencies that provide economic development incentives involved in the development and regulation of data centers to ensure uniform adherence to evolving best standards and practices.

Lucas

[260065](#) Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Directing the City Manager to assign costs beyond the twenty-five (25) percent annual budget threshold in Mo. Rev. Stat. 84.730 for previously approved Board of Police Commissioners legal settlements to the City's Legal Expense Fund rather than the submitted Kansas City Police Department Budget; retaining requisitioning authority with the City Attorney; directing the City Manager to negotiate with the Board of Police Commissioners a process for Council approval of costs related to Board of Police Commissioners established settlement agreements, including settlements with costs structured over future budget cycles.

HELD IN COMMITTEE

Lucas

[240401](#) Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Directing the City Manager to review the City's relationship with the Mid-America Regional Council (MARC) and to explore the creation of a new and independent Kansas City Metropolitan Planning Organization (KCMO-MPO) for the purpose of overseeing the regional transportation planning and allocation of federal transportation funds within the boundaries of Kansas City.

Lucas and Robinson

[240474](#) Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

SEMI-ANNUAL DOCKET

Consideration of Semi-Annual Docket items.

ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

1. There may be general discussion for current Special Committee for Legal Review committee issues.
2. Closed Session
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 1 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss legal matters, litigation, or privileged communications with attorneys;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 2 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss real estate;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsections 3 and 13 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss personnel matters;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 9 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss employee labor negotiations;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 11 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss specifications for competitive bidding;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 12 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss sealed bids or proposals; or
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 17 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss confidential or privileged communications with auditors.
3. Those who wish to comment on proposed ordinances can email written testimony to public.testimony@kcmo.org. Comments received will be distributed to the committee and added to the public record by the clerk.

The city provides several ways for residents to watch City Council meetings:

- Livestream on the city's website at www.kcmo.gov
- Livestream on the city's YouTube channel at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3hOublg4fok>
- Watch Channel 2 on your cable system. The channel is available through Time Warner Cable (channel 2 or 98.2), AT&T U-verse (channel 99 then select Kansas City) and Google Fiber on Channel 142.
- To watch archived meetings, visit the City Clerk's website and look in the Video on Demand section: http://kansascity.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=2

The City Clerk's Office now has equipment for the hearing impaired for use with every meeting. To check out the equipment please see the secretary for each committee. Be prepared to leave your Driver's License or State issued Identification Card with the secretary and she will give you the equipment. Upon returning the equipment your license will be returned.

Adjournment



File #: 220444

ORDINANCE NO. 220444

Amending Chapter 44, Code of Ordinances, Corrections, by repealing Sections 44-1, 44-9 and 44-11 relating to prisoners in the custody of the City, and enacting in lieu thereof three new sections of like number and subject matter.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That Chapter 44, Code of Ordinances, Corrections, by repealing Sections 44-1, 44-9 and 44-11 relating to prisoners in the custody of the City, and enacting in lieu thereof three new sections of like number and subject matter, to read as follows:

Sec. 44-1. Powers of the city manager and administrator of corrections regarding prisoners.

(a) The city manager, administrator of corrections and their designees shall have the power to:

- (1) Inquire into the nature of any case brought before the municipal division courts of the city, and may recommend that any person convicted thereby shall be pardoned;
- (2) Authority to transport or designate an authorized agent to transport inmates to and from a correctional facility as designated by the city manager or the manager's designee pursuant to an inmate housing agreement;
- (3) Establish rules and regulations under which and specify the conditions upon which, any prisoner may be allowed to go on work release or be assigned outside the buildings and enclosures of any correctional facility. Such prisoner shall remain, while on work release, in the legal custody and under the control of city manager, administrator of corrections and their designees and shall be subject at any time to be placed or taken back within the enclosures of the correctional facility for violation of any conditions of such work release or assigned outside work;
- (4) Enforce such powers, regulations and conditions, and to retake and re-imprison any prisoner so trusted to the correctional facility.
- (5) Promulgate rules and procedures that shall govern the safety, security and the health of inmates committed to the custody of the City.

(b) Whenever the term municipal correctional institution is used in the city's code of ordinances, it shall mean a correctional facility and any other facility for the inmate population as designated by the city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees.

Sec. 44-9. Parole.

(a) Definition. For purpose of this section, the term parole means the release of a prisoner prior to the expiration of the prisoner's term. Such release is based upon conditions imposed by the sentencing judge of the court.

(b) Recommendation for parole of disabled prisoners. Whenever it shall be reported to the city manager, administrator of corrections, or their designees that any person confined in the correctional facility is disabled, or is afflicted with any contagious disease, mental illness, sickness or infirmity, the city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees may recommend that such person be placed on parole.

(c) Recommendation of parole for other prisoners. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter to the contrary, the city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees may also recommend parole for prisoners not in the categories described in subsection (b) of this section. Such may include but shall not be limited to the following: in the event of overcrowding at a correctional facility, the city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees may unconditionally or conditionally release from custody unsentenced prisoners or sentenced prisoners nearing the end of their sentence who have behaved meritoriously and had no significant problem while incarcerated. In addition, the city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees may allow early release of prisoners who have served at least one-third of their sentence and who have meritoriously participated in a program established to encourage good behavior and to facilitate such early releases. Any such program shall pinpoint prisoners who, through initiative, hard work and participation in self-improvement programs, have earned such consideration. A detailed administrative procedure shall be established to implement any such program.

(d) Contractual Release. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter to the contrary, the city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees may unconditionally or conditionally release from custody an unsentenced prisoner or sentenced prisoner if a City contracted correctional facility requires the release or refuses to accept custody of such prisoner for any reason.

(e) Concurrence of circuit court. Whenever a prisoner is confined in a correctional facility by virtue of sentencing by a judge other than a municipal judge, no parole or early release shall be granted without the express written concurrence of the judge so sentencing the prisoner.

Sec. 44-11. Authority to establish prisoner assistance programs.

The city manager, administrator of corrections or their designees shall have the authority to establish any prisoner assistance programs which would benefit the city's prisoner population,

including but not limited to the following: drug and alcohol counseling, general educational development (GED) or other educational programs with the goal of all programming to be the reduction in recidivism rates and criminal justice system contacts.

..end

Approved as to form and legality:

Alan L. Holtkamp
Assistant City Attorney

**No Docket
Memo Provided
for Ordinance
No. 220444**



File #: 230471

ORDINANCE NO. 230471

Sponsor(s): Councilmembers Kevin O’Neill and Heather Hall

Amending Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 220364, passed on October 13, 2022, by repealing and replacing Section 5 to provide for an effective date of July 1, 2024.

WHEREAS, Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 220364 (the “Ordinance”), passed by City Council on October 13, 2022, amends Chapter 18 of the Code of Ordinances by updating the City’s Energy Conservation Code; and

WHEREAS, Section 5 of the Ordinance provides an effective date of July 1, 2023; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section A. That Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 220364 is hereby amended by repealing Section 5 thereto and enacting in lieu thereof a new Section 5 to read as follows:

Section 5. The effective date of this ordinance shall be July 1, 2024.

..end

Approved as to form:

Eluard Alegre
Associate City Attorney

City of Kansas City, Missouri



Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #

Submitted Department/Preparer: Mayor/Council's Office

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Amending Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 220364, passed on October 13, 2022, by repealing and replacing Section 5 to provide for an effective date of July 1, 2024.

Discussion

This legislation changes the effective date of Ordinance 220364 from July 1, 2023 to July 1, 2024.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? Yes No

2. What is the funding source?

This legislation does not have a fiscal impact.

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?

N/A

4. Does the legislation have fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.

N/A

5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?

N/A

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. Yes No

City of Kansas City, Missouri



Docket Memo

2. This fund has a structural imbalance.

Yes No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

View the [FY23 Citywide Business Plan](#)

Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?

Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)

Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):

- Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a safe, efficient, convenient, inclusive, accessible, sustainable and better connected multi-modal transportation system
- Develop environmentally sound and sustainable infrastructure strategies that improve quality of life and foster economic growth
- Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally-owned businesses
- Engage in efforts to strategically invest in the City's infrastructure and explore emerging technologies
-
-

Prior Legislation

220364

Service Level Impacts

N/A

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

N/A

City of Kansas City, Missouri



Docket Memo

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

N/A

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

N/A

4. Does the ordinance/resolution include Civil Rights antidiscrimination requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 38, titled "Civil Rights")?

N/A

5. Has the ordinance/resolution been submitted for review of economic equity & inclusion requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 3, titled "Contracts and Leases")?

N/A



File #: 231053

ORDINANCE NO. 231053

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Repealing Ordinance No. 231011 and directing the City Manager, in the event of the developer's failure to perform its contractual obligations, to terminate the 63rd and Prospect development contract and issue a request for proposals for the 63rd and Prospect development area.

WHEREAS, the City previously requested proposals for construction of high quality retail and residential uses within the City's 63rd and Prospect development area and selected UrbanAmerica, LLC as the developer of the area; and

WHEREAS, in Committee Substitute for Resolution No. 180628, the Council directed the City Manager to enter into negotiations with UrbanAmerica, LLC for a phased sale and development of property at 63rd and Prospect (the "Property"); and

WHEREAS, in Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 210566, the Council authorized the City Manager to execute a development agreement with the Tax Increment Financing Commission of Kansas City and UA KC Southpointe, LLC for the Property; and

WHEREAS, such Development Agreement was executed on February 4, 2022 (the "Agreement"), and a First Amendment thereto was executed on July 31, 2023; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 231011 directed the City Manager to execute a Second Amendment to the Development Agreement; and

WHEREAS, concerns exist about whether all parties will be able to perform all obligations under the agreement; and

WHEREAS, the 63rd and Prospect site represents an important opportunity for new development for the Fifth City Council District and for Kansas City as a whole; and

WHEREAS, the City Council remains committed to redevelopment of 63rd and Prospect as a successful commercial and residential destination, and supports a private/public partnership effort through use of development incentives and other financing tools; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That Ordinance No. 231011 is hereby repealed.

Section 2. That the City Manager is hereby directed to renegotiate the Second Amendment to the Development Agreement to include a shortened cure period and stronger accountability provisions to achieve redevelopment goals for 63rd and Prospect.

Section 3. That, in the event that UA KC Southpointe, LLC, its successors or assigns, fails to fully perform any of its obligations under the Agreement, the City Manager is hereby directed to immediately terminate the Agreement in accordance with the terms thereof and issue a new Request for Proposals for construction of high quality retail and/or residential uses within the City's 63rd and Prospect development area.

..end

Approved as to form:

Abigail Judah
Assistant City Attorney

**No Docket Memo
Provided for
Ordinance No.
231053**



File #: 250974

RESOLUTION NO. 250974

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

RESOLUTION - Directing the City Manager to align agencies that provide economic development incentives involved in the development and regulation of data centers to ensure uniform adherence to evolving best standards and practices.

WHEREAS, plans are in place to build numerous large format data centers in Kansas City; and

WHEREAS, evaluation of large format data centers on local infrastructure is necessary policy discussion for the City; and

WHEREAS, the novelty of large format data centers means that the best standards and practices for their development, operation, maintenance, and regulation are evolving with time; and

WHEREAS, strategic and coordinated collaboration across all agencies that provide economic development incentives involved in the development, operation, maintenance, and regulation of data centers will best ensure that these facilities will benefit all of Kansas City; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The City Manager is directed to:

- i.) Identify all agencies that provide economic development incentives involved in the development, maintenance, operation, and regulation of large format data centers.
- ii.) Catalogue how those agencies are so involved.
- iii.) Identify sources of best standards and practices for the development, maintenance, operation, and regulation of data centers.
- iv.) Identify which agencies are best situated to implement the various standards and practices.

- v.) Establish a plan that ensures large format data centers are developed, operated, maintained, and regulated in accordance with best standards and practices.
- vi.) Identify within this plan what gaps in City government and infrastructure may inhibit the achievement of any plan, and how those gaps may be remedied.
- vii.) Ensure that this plan is regularly updated to reflect the most up-to-date standards and practices.

Section 2. The City Manager shall provide an update within 120 days of the effective date of this resolution.

..end



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: Resolution 250974

Submitted Department/Preparer: Mayor/Council's Office

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Directing the City Manager to align agencies that provide economic development incentives involved in the development and regulation of data centers to ensure uniform adherence to evolving best standards and practices.

Discussion

Plans are in place to build numerous large format data centers in Kansas City.

Evaluation of large format data centers on local infrastructure is necessary policy discussion for the City.

The novelty of large format data centers means that the best standards and practices for their development, operation, maintenance, and regulation are evolving with time.

Strategic and coordinated collaboration across all agencies that provide economic development incentives involved in the development, operation, maintenance, and regulation of data centers will best ensure that these facilities will benefit all of Kansas City

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? Yes No
2. What is the funding source?
This resolution does not appropriate any funds
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
No impact

4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Unknown.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
No.

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. Yes No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. Yes No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. Yes No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

This resolution does not appropriate any funds

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Inclusive Growth and Development (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
 - Align the City's economic development strategies with the objectives of the City Council to ensure attention on areas traditionally underserved by economic development and redevelopment efforts.
 - Ensure quality, lasting development of new growth.
 - Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally owned businesses.
 - Create a solutions-oriented culture to foster a more welcoming business environment.
 - Leverage existing institutional assets to maintain and grow Kansas City's position as an economic hub in the Central United States.
 -

Prior Legislation

None

Service Level Impacts

Unknown.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
Unknown
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
Unknown
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
Yes
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
Please Select (Press tab after selecting)

No
Click or tap here to enter text.
5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)
Please provide reasoning why not:
Click or tap here to enter text.
6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?
No(Press tab after selecting)



File #: 260065

ORDINANCE NO. 260065

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Directing the City Manager to assign costs beyond the twenty-five (25) percent annual budget threshold in Mo. Rev. Stat. 84.730 for previously approved Board of Police Commissioners legal settlements to the City's Legal Expense Fund rather than the submitted Kansas City Police Department Budget; retaining requisitioning authority with the City Attorney; directing the City Manager to negotiate with the Board of Police Commissioners a process for Council approval of costs related to Board of Police Commissioners established settlement agreements, including settlements with costs structured over future budget cycles.

WHEREAS, Kansas City is required to provide no more than one-fourth of the general revenue to the Kansas City Police Department, pursuant to Chapter 84.730 of the Missouri Revised Statutes; and

WHEREAS, the Kansas City Police Department is governed by the Board of Police Commissioners appointed by the Governor of Missouri, pursuant to Chapter 84.350 of the Missouri Revised Statutes; and

WHEREAS, while the Board of Police Commissioners has exclusive operational control over the Kansas City Police Department, City Council has the responsibility to appropriate funds and provide fiscal oversight on behalf of Kansas City taxpayers; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has the responsibility to approve the annual budget, including the yearly funding appropriation for the Board of Police Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Missouri Revised Statutes Section 84.730, City Council is not required to appropriate amounts exceeding one-fourth of the general revenue fund in any fiscal year to the Board of Police Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Police Commissioners periodically makes funding requests above and beyond the required one-fourth funding allocation, including requests for settlements and judgments from litigation involving the department; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Police Commissioners in its 2026-2027 Requested Budget seeks funding beyond the required one-fourth funding allocation mandated by state law; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Police Commissioners in its 2026-2027 Requested Budget seeks funding beyond the required one-fourth funding allocation mandated by state law to address costs from legal settlements previously approved by the Board of Police Commissioners with fiscal impact in future years and budget cycles; and

WHEREAS, City Council has received no information on the nature, size, or scope of structured legal settlements impacting this and future years and budget cycles seeking appropriations in excess of state statutory mandate; and

WHEREAS, the City has established procedures for review of costs arising from legal settlements and has responsibility for properly anticipating and budgeting future costs to the City, including seven- and eight-figure legal settlement costs approved by the Board of Police Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to reduce the detrimental effect of structured legal settlement costs impacting the current and future years and budget cycles on policing and public safety in Kansas City; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The City Manager is directed to assign costs beyond the twenty-five (25) percent annual budget threshold in Mo. Rev. Stat. 84.730 for previously approved Board of Police Commissioners legal settlements to the City's Legal Expense Fund rather than the submitted Kansas City Police Department Budget.

Section 2. The City Manager is directed to negotiate with the Board of Police Commissioners a process for Council approval of costs related to Board of Police Commissioners approved settlement agreements, including settlements with costs structured over future budget cycles.

Section 3. The City Manager is directed to budget an appropriation consistent with the Board of Police Commissioners FY 2026-2027 request for legal settlements to the Legal Expense Fund, assigning requisitioning authority to the City Attorney, subjecting such payments from the Legal Expense Fund to the Council ordinance process.

..end

Approved as to form:

Samuel Miller
Assistant City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 260065

Submitted Department/Preparer: Mayor/Council's Office

Revised 12/03/25

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Directing the City Manager to assign costs beyond the twenty-five (25) percent annual budget threshold in Mo. Rev. Stat. 84.730 for previously approved Board of Police Commissioners legal settlements to the City's Legal Expense Fund rather than the submitted Kansas City Police Department Budget; retaining requisitioning authority with the City Attorney; directing the City Manager to negotiate with the Board of Police Commissioners a process for Council approval of costs related to Board of Police Commissioners established settlement agreements, including settlements with costs structured over future budget cycles.

Discussion

Kansas City is required to provide no more than one-fourth of the general revenue to the Kansas City Police Department, pursuant to Chapter 84.730 of the Missouri Revised Statutes. The Kansas City Police Department is governed by the Board of Police Commissioners appointed by the Governor of Missouri, pursuant to Chapter 84.350 of the Missouri Revised Statutes; and

While the Board of Police Commissioners has exclusive operational control over the Kansas City Police Department, City Council has the responsibility to appropriate funds and provide fiscal oversight on behalf of Kansas City taxpayers. The City Council has the responsibility to approve the annual budget, including the yearly funding appropriation for the Board of Police Commissioners. Pursuant to Missouri Revised Statutes Section 84.730, City Council is not required to appropriate amounts exceeding one-fourth of the general revenue fund in any fiscal year to the Board of Police Commissioners. The Board of Police Commissioners periodically makes funding requests above and beyond the required one-fourth funding allocation, including requests for settlements and judgments from litigation involving the department. Board of Police Commissioners in its 2026-2027 Requested Budget seeks funding beyond the required one-fourth funding allocation mandated by state law.

The Board of Police Commissioners in its 2026-2027 Requested Budget seeks funding beyond the required one-fourth funding allocation mandated by state law to address costs from legal settlements previously approved by the Board of Police Commissioners with fiscal impact in future years and budget cycles. City Council has received no information on the nature, size, or scope of structured legal settlements impacting this and future years and budget cycles seeking appropriations in excess of state statutory mandate. The City has established procedures for review of costs arising from legal settlements and has responsibility for properly anticipating and budgeting future costs to the City, including seven- and eight-figure legal settlement costs approved by the Board of Police Commissioners. The City desires to reduce the detrimental effect of structured legal settlement costs impacting the current and future years and budget cycles on policing and public safety in Kansas City.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? Yes No
2. What is the funding source?
The ordinance itself does not require a funding source upon adoption. The City Manager would be directed to identify funding above the City's statutory contributions in order to fund legal settlements entered into by the Board of Police Commissioners in future fiscal years.
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
n/a
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Yes. The legislation would direct the City Manager to identify future funding for legal settlements beyond what the City already appropriates to the Board of Police Commissioners on an annual basis. This would have both one-time and recurring costs, assuming certain BOPC settlements are structured to provide multi-year payments.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
No.

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. Yes No

2. This fund has a structural imbalance. Yes No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. Yes No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

This legislation does not appropriate funds, but provides directions on where to appropriate existing and future funds.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Finance and Governance (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
 - Ensure the resiliency of a responsive, representative, engaged, and transparent City government.
 - Engage in workforce planning including employee recruitment, development, retention, and engagement.
 - Foster a solutions-oriented, welcoming culture for employees and City Partners.
 -
 -
 -

Prior Legislation

City Budget Ordinance, e.g. Ordinance 250175

Service Level Impacts

Additional budget items beyond the City’s statutory contribution to the BOPC could have a negative fiscal impact on the City’s General Fund or other funds depending on the source(s) identified by the City Manager.

Staff Recommendation

Law Department

Select One: Sponsored
 Directive: Res/Ord # 260065

Select One: Recommend
 Do Not Recommend
 Not Applicable

Click or tap here to provide reasoning.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
N/A

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
N/A

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

This legislation would facilitate an agreement for funding of BOPC legal settlements such that the City may have more involvement in the budgeting of those claims.

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.
Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)
Please provide reasoning why not:
Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)



File #: 240401

ORDINANCE NO. 240401

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Directing the City Manager to review the City’s relationship with the Mid-America Regional Council (MARC) and to explore the creation of a new and independent Kansas City Metropolitan Planning Organization (KCMO-MPO) for the purpose of overseeing the regional transportation planning and allocation of federal transportation funds within the boundaries of Kansas City.

WHEREAS, Kansas City is a major urban center with unique transportation planning needs that are distinct from the broader bi-state Kansas City metropolitan area; and

WHEREAS, the existing Mid-America Regional Council (MARC) serves a broad geographic area that includes multiple counties in both Missouri and Kansas, which may dilute the focus on Kansas City’s specific transportation issues and opportunities; and

WHEREAS, federal regulations under the United States Department of Transportation mandate the establishment of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) for urbanized areas with populations over 50,000 to ensure effective local input in the allocation of federal transportation funds; and

WHEREAS, local support of transportation planning and prioritization of projects within Kansas City is essential to meet the immediate and future needs of the City’s residents and businesses, particularly in our urban core, and to ensure that City priorities—like reconnecting communities and mitigating harmful effects of major thoroughfares like Highway 71—are prioritized and implemented; and

WHEREAS, the addition of a Kansas City-specific Metropolitan Planning Organization (KCMO-MPO) would enhance the ability to undertake comprehensive, cooperative, and continuing transportation planning and implementation tailored to the City’s needs; NOW THEREFORE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The City Manager is directed to review the City’s relationship with MARC at the beginning of the next fiscal year, FY 2025-26.

Section 2. The City Manager is directed to explore the addition of a new, independent MPO serving and overseen by Kansas City (“KCMO-MPO”). The City Manager is directed to outline the geographical area of a potential KCMO-MPO, design governance and funding structures, and evaluate the process for securing necessary State and Federal approvals.

..end

Approved as to form:

Dustin Johnson
Associate City Attorney

**No Docket Memo
Provided for
Resolution No.**

240401



File #: 240474

ORDINANCE NO. 240474

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the City’s Transit Oriented Development (“TOD”) Policy adopted by the City pursuant to Resolution No. 160361 on May 18, 2017 (the “City TOD Policy”), the City outlined its plan to encourage quality development, including affordable housing transit corridors (such as streetcar and MAX bus routes); and

WHEREAS, Kansas City Area Transportation Authority (“KCATA”) is a bi-state agency created in 1965 by a compact between the States of Missouri and Kansas, and approved by the United States Congress (the “Compact”); and

WHEREAS, the KCATA is generally responsible for planning, constructing, owning and operating passenger transportation systems and facilities within the seven-county Kansas City metropolitan area (the “District”), including Kansas City; and

WHEREAS, the KCATA has adopted a policy to advance the development of the affordable housing and other facilities within proximity of its passenger transportation system, particularly low-income housing facilities on the City’s East Side; and

WHEREAS, to facilitate the foregoing, the KCATA issues private activity, tax-exempt bonds pursuant to its authority under the Compact and Section 147 of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Tax Code”), to provide financing for each TOD, low-income housing facility; and

WHEREAS, Section 147(f) of the Tax Code, commonly known as the “Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982” (“TEFRA”), requires (a) that a proposed bond issuer and its “host jurisdiction” (the governmental unit where the proposed project is located) provide notice of a public hearing (a “Public Hearing”) for the purpose of providing the public an opportunity to, among other things, comment upon the proposed tax-exempt bond issue, prior to the issuance thereof; and

WHEREAS, the TEFRA requirements also provide that, following each Public Hearing, the chief elected official of the host jurisdiction provide a certificate indicating its approval of the tax-exempt bond issuance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires a clear and transparent process for TEFRA bonds for KCATA projects. NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The City Council authorizes and expresses support for the City entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Kansas City Area Transportation Authority setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects. A copy of the MOU is attached in substantial form.

..end

Approved as to form:

Emalea Black
Associate City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240474

Submitted Department/Preparer: Public Works

Revised 11/01/23

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

Discussion

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

Fiscal Impact

- 1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? Yes No
- 2. What is the funding source?
N/A
- 3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
Undetermined
- 4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Future impact to be determined by MOU authorized by this legislation
- 5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
Uncertain

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

- 1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. Yes No

2. This fund has a structural imbalance. Yes No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. Yes No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

No account string to verify

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [FY23 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
 - Engage in thoughtful planning and redesign of existing road networks to ensure safety, access, and mobility of users of all ages and abilities.
 - Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a better-connected multi-modal transportation system for all users.
 - Build on existing strengths while developing a comprehensive transportation plan for the future.
 - Develop environmentally sustainable infrastructure strategies that improve quality of life and foster economic growth.
 - Ensure adequate resources are provided for continued maintenance of existing infrastructure.
 - Focus on delivery of safe connections to schools.

Prior Legislation

Click or tap here to list prior, related ordinances/resolutions.

Service Level Impacts

Click or tap here to provide a description of how this ordinance will impact service levels. List any related key performance indicators and impact.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
Uncertain
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
Uncertain
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
Uncertain
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?
No(Press tab after selecting)