

Hello,

I'm writing to make public comment on Ordinance 230891.

While I do see in the Docket Memo that this is being promoted as an environmentally friendly proposal ("this project reduces the onsite greenhouse gas emissions relative to combusting the gas."), it seems contrary to the approved Climate Plan. Please consider whether this project actually puts **more** emphasis on an outdated, unsafe, and unapproved energy source (so-called "natural" gas). I also see mention of a 20-year contract, which certainly could block **real** forward movement: a focus on sources such as wind & solar power.

Please read between the lines of the green-washing verbiage being used in this proposal.

Thank you!

Nikki Goodson
905 E Gregory Blvd